

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,436	07/17/2006	Dov Avni	P-4333-US3	4457
PEARL COHE 1500 BROAD	7590 09/12/200 N ZEDEK LATZER, I WAY 12TH FLOOR		EXAMINER DIEP, NHON THANH	
NEW YORK,	NY 10036		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2621	<u> </u>
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<del> </del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/551,436	AVNI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Nhon T. Diep	2621	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app			
Period fo	• •			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DYNAMING DYNAMING BYNAMING DYNAMING BYNAMING DYNAMING BYNAMING DYNAMING DYNAM	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) M , cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communical ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•
Status				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ju	ine 1007.		
		action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits	s is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 43-52 is/are pending in the application	n.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 43-46 and 47-52 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r		
•	The drawing(s) filed on $9/29/2005$ is/are: a)		ed to by the Examiner	
, ,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	•	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '	1(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	*	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
_	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	<b>,</b>		
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in	Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have bee	en received in this National Stage	
	application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
. * 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies n	ot received.	
Attachmen		·		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice o	f Informal Patent Application	
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: _	·	

Art Unit: 2621

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 43-52 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 43-46 and 49-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saito et al (US 6,184,922 B1), in view of Fukuhara et al (US 6,501,862 B1) and Honda et al (US 2004/0225223 A1).

As for claim 43, applicants claim "an in vivo device...". In the tenth edition of Merriam-Webster's Dictionary, the term in vivo is defined as "in the living body of a plant or animal", while the definition of ab endoscope is "a means for viewing the interior of a hollow organ". The examiner would like to point out that an in vivo device and an endoscope are one in the same. That to say, each of the above two devices are used to view the interior of a body. Saito et al discloses a system for reconstructing an image, the system comprising: a controller to: receive selected image data from an invivo device (fig. 2, el. 6 and col. 7, ln 36-40); pre-process the selected image data; and as specified in claim 43; wherein the controller is to post process the interpolated image data (fig. 14, el. 337) as specified in claim 46; and wherein the controller is to generate

Art Unit: 2621

reconstructed data based on said selected image data (fig. 14, el 338) as specified in claim 49; an in-vivo imager to receive a plurality of input image data corresponding to an image and to produce said selected image data (fig. 2, el. 32) as specified in claim 51 and a transmitter to transmit said selected image data (fig. 3, el. 54 and its output) as specified in claim 52. It is noted that Saito et al does not particularly disclose that:

- a. pre-process the selected image data by applying error correction, gradient evaluation or detecting edges; and the controller is to receive the selected image data from a swallowable capsule as specified in claim 50; and
- b. the reconstruction process involves interpolation, linear interpolation or produce additional image data as specified in claims 43, 44 and 45.

With regard to a: Honda et al, in fig. 1, paragraphs 0004 and 0052, teaches the using of a swallowable capsule to take images inside a living body and a video signal processing function for <u>image</u> data generation, a transmission signal generating function that performs mixing of a video signal and a sync signal, affixing of an <u>error correction code</u>, etc. And, therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Saito et al by using an endoscope or an in-vivo device to image the intestinal of a living body by way of non-invasive and less obstructive procedures and further more, applying error correction in pre-processing selected images to prevent error and to obtain better images.

With regard to b: Fukuhara et al, in fig. 9, teaches the process of encoding original image by decimating and then performing interpolation to reconstruct encoded image to obtain original image and that interpolation of image produces additional

Application/Control Number: 10/551,436

Art Unit: 2621

image data. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to encode original image by decimating and to reconstructing encoded image by interpolation as taught by Fukuhara et al. Doing so would help to reduce bandwidth for transmission while simplifying the encoding process.

Page 4

4. Claim 47 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saito et al (US 6,184,922 B1), in view of Fukuhara et al (US 6,501,862 B1), Honda et al (US 2004/0225223 A1) and further in view of He et al (US 6,600,517 B1).

As applied to claim 46 above, it is noted that the combination of Saito et al and Fukuhara et al does not particularly disclose that the controller is to post process by a method selected from a group including: image sharpening, color suppression, intensity adjustment, convolution and applying a median filter as specified in claim 47. He et al teaches Post-processing circuitry is capable of carrying out several different types of video signal processing. Exemplary video signal processing functions performed by post-processing circuitry may include: noise reduction algorithms, color correction, scaling, scan-rate conversion, adaptive feature enhancement, and other adaptive object based algorithms. In an advantageous embodiment, post-processing circuitry 140 further comprises image sharpening circuitry capable of performing noise level adaptive sharpness enhancement (fig. 5 and col. 4, ln. 64 - col. 5, ln. 7)

## Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - a. Abrams, JR. (US 2003/0156188 A1)

Application/Control Number: 10/551,436

Art Unit: 2621

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 5

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nhon T. Diep whose telephone number is 571-272-7328. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on 571-272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ND 8/30/2007

NHON DIEP PRIMARY EXAMINER